

Identity

MAGAZINE OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

'All peoples have the right of self-determination...to freely determine their political, social and cultural development.'Article 1, Point 1 of the United Nations Covenant on Civil and Political Rights :

www.bnp.org.uk
£1.95 June 2003
Issue 33

BNP Info Line
0906 5532245

CALLS COST £1 PER MIN

P4 BNP to win a £million

P6 BNP legal victory

P10 Racial differences

P12 The Celts Part 2





● POLICE CHIEF CONFIRMS 'IMMIGRANTS BRING INCREASED CRIME'

Blood vessels were bursting among the BBC and the *Guardian's* multi-culti elite last month when Chris Fox, president of the Association of Chief Police Officers had the temerity to say that the influx of 'refugees' has brought significant increase in crime and the potential for unrest on the streets. The number of asylum seekers coming to Britain had reached "tidal wave proportions", he said, which was used as a cover by criminals to enter the country.

Mr Fox stated that "Mass immigration has brought with it a whole new range and a whole new type of crime, from the Nigerian fraudster, to the eastern European who deals in drugs and prostitution to the Jamaican concentration on drug dealing."

In an attempt to deflect some of the flak that he knew his words would raise from the propagators of multiracialism, he added: "They bring with them different cultural values. The danger of this subject is everyone starts talking about racism. It's not about racism. But they bring with them some of the things they used to do in their own countries."

Importantly, Mr Fox also said: "If you go to any force in the country, you will find an increase in crime involving what I would call the new communities. It's not

just a London, Birmingham, Manchester problem.

"This is not just police business, it's everybody's. This puts pressure on schools, and social services and health."

● MORE STEEL JOBS GO

Corus (formerly British Steel and the Dutch Hoogovens company) is to shed 1,150 jobs in the UK, with a further 2,200 under threat. The Stocksbridge steelworks in South Yorkshire will be particularly hit. The future for the Teesside plant looks grim as Corus said that it would no longer require its steel – we assume the workers will have to sell it themselves.

These are yet more British jobs that are being sacrificed to Global Capitalism, which slowly closes down all Britain and Europe's heavy industry and transfers it to the cheaper wage levels of South East Asia and the Far East. Blair and Co's vision of a European state would do nothing to halt this. To give one example, employers' increased contributions to Europe's bureaucracy ridden social securities would lead to further jobs being eliminated.

● CHURCH ARSONIST JAILED

Raja Munawwar, an 18-year-old Moslem, was jailed for 18 months at Burnley Crown Court for torching a church in a £250,000 blaze during the fire fighters' strike. It left the Christ Church Chapel in Every Street, Nelson a shell.

The court heard that when the Green Goddess Forces crew turned up at the blaze they found a number of people, mostly young Asian males, standing around the area. As the crew got out of their vehicles the shout went up: "Where's your Jesus now?" Other hostile comments included: "I am going to get my AK47 and kill you," and "Bin Laden is going to get you". An older man, only referred to as Mr M, had been inciting others to burn down the church and was heard telling others to kick the door in.

As far as we know this was only reported in the *Colne Times*. If the youths had

been white and the destroyed place of worship had been a mosque then it would have made the front page of the nationals and been the lead item on BBC news – that's for sure!



● CENSURE ON 'JEWISH CABAL' CLAIM

Labour MP Tam Dalyell, the Father of the House of Commons, faces disciplinary action by his party over his claims that Tony Blair is unduly influenced by a "cabal of Jewish advisers".

Earlier last month he had caused outrage among many MPs when, in an interview for *Vanity Fair*, he named Lord Levy, Tony Blair's personal envoy on the Middle East, Peter Mandelson, whose father was Jewish, and Jack Straw, the Foreign Secretary, who has Jewish ancestry, as three of the leading figures who had influenced Blair's policies on the Middle East.

Mr Dalyell told the *Sunday Telegraph*: "I am fully aware that one is treading on cut glass on this issue and no one wants to be accused of anti-Semitism but, if it is a question of launching an assault on Syria or Iran... then one has to be candid."

● £15m FOR BLACK COMMUNITIES

Home Office Minister Lord Filkin has announced that the Government has pledged £15m to help with the social regeneration of Black and Minority Ethnic Communities over the next three years. (We assume it does not include white minorities in such places as Tower Hamlets or Balsall Heath) He said the money was being granted under the second phase of the Government's race equality grants programme, 'Connecting Communities'. One of the objectives will be to promote projects "celebrating minority ethnic achievements to counteract racist and negative stereotyping as well as the building of relationships with communities of different ethnic origins."

Perhaps Bradford or Southall could be trial areas?



Editor: John Bean
June 2003

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscription telephone:
0870 75 73 267

Articles may be submitted for publication to the email address below in typed/electronic format
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk, CB8 8WT
Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

Articles Update

In order to increase quality please note that from now on only typed or electronic formatted articles will be considered for Identity. Plus all images/photos to be scanned at a resolution of 300dpi, where possible please supply the original image/photo.

False Defenders of European Values



Those of us who oppose the relentless drive for a Federal Europe, an embryonic dictatorship of global capitalists and multiracialists, are branded as xenophobic. This may be true of some sectors of the old Conservative Right, but the new right of Europe, the Radical Right, are co-operating in the struggle to retain our European values and cultures and the continuance of the people who were its creators. We oppose the false belief in a single Federal European state because it will destroy the very individuality of nations, which was a major factor in the creation of that peak of civilisation: European civilisation.

It is logical that it is being supported by the Blairs, Prodis (European Commission president), Chiracs and liberal internationalist Conservatives such as Heath and Patten. They share a common inspiration of a Federal Europe being a stepping stone to one-world: a one-world government of the people by the global capitalists, and with the liberal brotherhood-of-man 'intelligentsia' acting as their hand maidens.

Here in Britain the battle is now fully underway. Blair's immediate step is to ditch the pound and join the Euro, with or without a referendum. Despite New Labour's hogwash about "listening to the people", the cat was out the bag when John Reid, Labour Party Chairman, stated on the BBC's *Dimbleby* programme, May 11th, "It's not a matter of **if** we go into the single currency... it's a matter of **when!**"

For those who are fooled by Gordon Brown's apparent reluctance to take us into the Euro – as yet, remember that this play-acting is inspired by his dislike of Blair. His true feelings were shown in the speech he made last month in London to the Confederation of British Industry. He said: "The EU had to show it was adjusting to the challenge of delivering stability and prosperity in a global economy. It could no longer operate as a trade bloc independent of the rest of the world. It had to be open and outward looking, not protectionist." We have heard the last bit before: from the UK Independence Party!

Of course the pro-Euro advocates never explain why we should join when our economy is in a better shape than that of the Euro states. All of their economies have slumped since Euroland was born. Germany, Europe's largest economy, is set to grow a mere 0.4 per cent this year, against the UK's 2.2 per cent. Unemployment is nearing five million, three times that of Britain. But Germany cannot do anything about it: they must obey the Euroland rules. A similar story exists for France and Italy.

LABOUR LIES

The Claim made by Blair's mouthpiece on Europe, the odious Peter Hain, that the proposals of the European Convention are "just a tidying up exercise" is yet another Labour lie. Lamberto Dini, a former Italian prime minister who now sits on the EU Convention on Europe's future, said that the

British ministers were merely seeking to "sweeten the pill". The reality, he said, was that the constitution would "quickly change people's lives, as the EU introduced new legislation in a wide range of areas (*Sunday Telegraph*, June 1st). He added that the EU would soon be making its own laws on asylum and migration and aimed to have a common foreign and defence policy which he described as "the most important aspect" of the future of Europe. This would mean, of course, that Britain's asylum policy (assuming we ever get an effective one) would be set by the EU, allowing refugees to enter the country without checks.

The EU Convention's supporters in Britain also try and fool the public that there will be little change of our legal system. They ignore the fact that over the past ten years in excess of 103,000 pieces of EU legislation have already been written into English and Scottish law. Without doubt, the objective is that unaccountable European judges will be able to overturn the law of national governments and parliaments. Article 54 could curtail free speech by prohibiting "any activity" or "any act" that challenges or aims to "destroy" the rights listed in the Charter. In other words, your editor could be arrested merely for writing this article. This is in addition to the impending EU legislation that will empower a European Police Force – an extended Interpol – to arrest any one in any EU country for writing or speaking against multiracialism and multiculturalism.

Rest assured, Blair can do nothing to stop the EU Convention proposals. Giscard d'Estaing, the drafting committee's chairman, has been threatened with mutiny by EU Commission President Prodi unless the text of the draft is "toughened up". The bulk of the 105 convention committee members (nearly half unelected) will agree.

Something that stands out in the two hundred pages of the EU Convention draft is that it ignores Europe's past history: its roots in Hellenic Greece, Imperial Rome and Christianity. Just as Tony Blair ignores Britain's historical past.

Just compare what New Labour is about to do to Britain with what the early pioneers of the Labour movement set out to achieve. The days at the beginning of the 20th century when Bob Blatchford campaigned with the slogan "Britain For The British!" Unlike the Marxists of his time, he was a great patriot – but now modern liberal-socialists accuse him of race prejudice. He specifically attacked laissez-faire capitalism (today's global capitalism) for its materialism. The BNP could well take up his earlier campaign.

HOW WE CAN GAIN A

BNP Chairman Nick Griffin defines how essential it is for the Party's growth to raise £200,000 for next June's Euro Elections. And it can be done if just 1000 members donated £4 a week!

Imagine what the British National Party could do with an extra £1 million a year coming in, guaranteed for five years! Last year, our total combined national and local turnover was just under £250,000, so think of us doing four times what we were able to do last year. Just imagine the impact! We'd have full-time regional organisers, full-time campaign team leaders, training courses for local candidates and media spokesmen, we could hire senior lawyers to press forward with all the opportunities identified by our legal team. In short, we

could take our opponents to the cleaners and take ever-growing areas of the country by storm.

Now imagine that you could make that happen, just by making the effort to save or raise just £4 per week. Wouldn't that be worth it? Just over 50p a day for the sheer unadulterated pleasure of watching BBC reporters and Labour party hacks squirm and whine about an endless string of BNP election gains and legal victories.

You could find that money if you thought that your £4 a week was going to become one million pounds a year, wouldn't you?

EURO ELECTIONS WAR CHEST

Well, it can! Because a mere £4 extra every week adds up to just over £200 a year. And just 1,000 people putting aside the price of two pints or a dozen chocolate bars a week would give us £200,000 to spend on the European Elections next June.

If we can go into that campaign

with a war chest of £200,000, we stand a serious chance of winning a number of seats in the European Parliament. That would give us a huge political boost; it would position us to fight against the menace of creeping Euro federalism in the very heart of the Beast, and it would give us the democratic mandate we need to deal with the media and the authorities as the legitimate representatives of oppressed white communities even in areas where the multi-culti nightmare has hit our people the hardest.

But, in addition, the financial implications of getting the BNP to Strasburg are staggering. Every single MEP gets an annual salary of £62,000 (and a specially low rate of tax to boot). In addition, every MEP gets an allowance of £6,000 per month for secretarial help. Then there is the £150 a day expenses payout. And the travelling expenses at a whopping 45p per kilometre (that's 72p per mile to thee and me), paid on any journey anywhere in the entire European Union. Finally (as far as we know for now), there's the fact that MEPs can spend pretty much what they like on postage, phone calls and similar office expenses while at Strasburg, provided they provide receipts.

This latter perk, incidentally, is a significant improvement – from the taxpayers' point of view – on the arrangement until recently, whereby MEPs were pocketing £2,000 a week for postage and phone bills, without having to provide any explanation as to how on earth they could spend so much money in such a short space of time.


All in all, the current MEP package adds up to a stunning £200,000 a year. With the BNP in with a very real chance of winning five seats next June – in the North West, West Midlands, East Midlands, England South East and London – that's where the figure of £1 million a year comes in.

NO BNP SNOOTS IN THE TROUGH

Now, the MEPs of every other party seem to regard the £200,000 they rake in as their own, just another gravy train for those who couldn't get their snouts into the tax-troughs in Westminster, Edinburgh or Cardiff. British National Party MEPs, on the other hand, are going to be different.

Obviously our European Parliamentarians will have to receive a decent remuneration for their time and labours. For a start, we intend to make being an MEP a full-time job, which means that other jobs or businesses will have to be ditched or passed to other people. With the risk of being voted out of office at some stage in the future always being there (only theoretically, we hope, of course) it would be absurd to expect any seriously talented individual to work for an idealistically low wage for five years and then have nothing to go back to after the 'contract' comes to an end.

That said, £62,000 per year is obscenely excessive compared with the income of most ordinary taxpayers. Accordingly, all



£1 MILLION SUBSIDY

our Euro candidates will be required to sign a contract under which they agree to donate a significant proportion of their 'basic' salary, and a larger amount still from the other perks, to the party or to good causes in their regional constituencies. The precise amounts will be decided after a top level BNP delegation has visited the European Parliament as guests of Jean-Marie Le Pen's European deputies later this year, at which point we will have a clearer picture of the actual costs of doing the job.

Several of our most likely top candidates are already employed (at a tiny fraction of the rates enjoyed by MEPs) by the party, so having them paid by 'Europe' will obviously free up cash to take on more staff. One way or another, then, the vast majority of the £200,000 per member per year will end up helping finance our further progress.

Our attitude to the expenses gravy train in particular will be revolutionary compared to the other parties. Any BNP MEP caught 'massaging' their expenses for personal gain will be dealt with by us with the utmost severity. We will never forget that every penny of this money comes in fact from the long-suffering British taxpayer. So while we will not allow it to be used for personal gain, our MEPs will also regard it as a duty to squeeze every last legitimate penny out of the job in order to plough the money back into helping to speed the day when our people are liberated from the Eurocrats' giant federal labour camp.

HELP YOUR LOCAL REGION

Some of this major cash injection will obviously be needed to help expand and improve further the party's central administrative and political capabilities, but the lion's share will of course be spent to benefit the region which the MEP in question represents. This prospect should provide the incentive for the biggest fund-raising effort ever undertaken by local units and by our own regions.

Alongside building enough follow-up teams to make personal visits to every enquirer, and keeping an eye open for the earliest possible notice of winnable council by-elections, this is the most important task ahead of us all over the next twelve months. As outlined at the start of the article, every extra pound raised in the next 52 weeks increases our chances of getting what mounts to a huge State subsidy for the subsequent five years.

If we don't raise enough to be serious contenders next June, we would miss the boat for an entire five years. Five years in which the combination of asylum, other forms of mass immigration and differential birth-rates would tip the electoral balance against us in many more areas. Five years in which the European federalists would be able to destroy even more of the economic infrastructure and traditional institutions which give us what remains of our national independence and individual freedoms. We just can't afford to let that happen; we can't afford to waste five weeks, let alone five years.

Elsewhere in this issue you will find details of how much each region – and the party centrally – has to raise in order



to run the biggest campaign in the entire history of British nationalism. Frankly, the amount will be dwarfed by the millions that will be spent by the other parties; even the Johnnie-Come-Lately Euro-sceptic liberals of UKIP will probably be able to outspend us by a factor of five or six even if we reach our £200,000 target. But, of course, that is only to be expected, because they are essentially a single issue safety valve, whereas we have the potential to play the British part in a Europe-wide political revolution which will sweep aside not just the Eurocrats but the entire liberal capitalist global 'order' – multi-racial wars and all.

PLAYING FOR BIG STAKES

We all know that we're playing for the biggest possible stakes. We also know that a year is a long time in politics. If the asylum issue goes off the boil, if there is not an Islamic terrorist attack in Britain, if we fail to make opposition to Euro federal tyranny a major and well-publicised part of our appeal over the months ahead, if the housing market doesn't collapse and bring consumer confidence down with the rest of the economic rubble – if by chance most of those 'ifs' come to pass, our vote a year hence could be substantially lower than if the election was now. Furthermore, of course, we aren't the only people aware of just how important next June is going to be; we are sure to face an extraordinarily dirty and sophisticated opposition campaign, at every possible

continued overleaf

level, to disrupt our efforts and hold us back.

In the North West and the West Midlands, however, our level of support could halve and we would still be in with a good chance of winning a seat in each, even though the total number of seats available is being cut in most regions owing to the 'need' to shrink Britain's voice in Europe to make way for new member states. But provided we reach our fund-raising target, I believe that we are now odds on to have at least two MEPs elected.

Looking at the state of Britain and the world today, however, there is just as much chance that events will continue to push more and more voters in our direction. Another twelve months of progress at the rate of the last year and our Euro potential could be improved still further, rather than be cut. Just a bit more luck and an amount of work of which we are capable if everyone decides to leave their 'comfort zone' and really go for it in terms of activity, and we could consolidate our present position and make even further advances. These would put us in a position to win two seats in the North West and single seats in Yorkshire, the West Midlands, East Midlands, London and the South East.

The presence of well-funded UKIP candidates in the South West and East Anglia would probably still split the patriotic vote sufficiently to keep us out there despite a steep rise in our support. But since their leadership have turned down our offer of an electoral pact, when our success costs them their seats as well many of their very decent rank-and-file members will blame their cowardly liberal leaders and walk away from the disaster that will follow the resulting UKIP defeat and join us instead.

Again providing we have the money, then the best-case scenario is likely to be seven British National Party MEPs and our firm establishment as the only serious opposition to the federal European dictatorship. Seven million pounds over five years, and an effective monopoly on a political position that is shared by the majority of the electorate and the most influential newspapers in the country – *if that's not worth setting aside £4 a week for, what the hell is?*

But will it actually happen? Well, in the last two big electoral tests – the Stoke Mayoral election and the council elections just gone – our actual results have been on the very top end of the most optimistic estimates of our most experienced BNP election-watchers. That's why, other things being equal, I believe that at present a likely maximum success of five MEPs is a realistic target for June 10th 2004.

THE BACKING OF THE ORDINARY PEOPLE

If we had half a million pounds to throw into the campaign, incidentally, such a breakthrough would be almost guaranteed; so if by chance there is any patriotic millionaire out there who wants to secure a place in the history books...

Sadly, of course, patriotic millionaires are few and far between, and generous patriotic millionaires are about as common as rocking horse dung. So, as always, it will be left to the 'ordinary' people of this extraordinary land of ours to do the things that the 'great and good' are too cowardly, greedy or lazy to do. Even among the ranks of so-called 'nationalists', the ones who could upgrade the election addresses for an entire region for less than they spend on a second exotic holiday each year will expect applause for their 'generosity'

when they toss fifty quid into a collection.

If past experience is anything to go by, the bulk of our £200,000 will be given or raised by people who can ill afford either the time or the money: pensioners on the pittance that 'our' government sees fit to give to people who have paid in all their life; mums and dads who both have to work overtime to keep a roof over their heads and shoes on the kids' feet; youngsters who are still at school or in pathetically paid statistic-fiddling 'jobs' or up to their necks in student debt before they even start out in life on their own account.

As always, this country will be saved by the labourers and the shelf-stackers, the poor and the over-looked, the small businessman strangled by red tape, the taxpayers and the pensioners. Meanwhile the stockbrokers, the welfare spongers, the bankers, the giant landowners, the overpaid media hacks and the civil service grandees will cling tighter than ever to the money they've leached off the rest of us, and look the other way as the Battle for Britain enters its final stages.

I hope that I'm proved wrong in this, I hope that somewhere out there we can find just a few members of the "ruling class" who will accept the responsibility that their wealth and privilege confers upon them. But frankly I doubt it. Well, so be it. If we, the ordinary people, have to save this country by ourselves, then we will.

And when our great breakthrough next June leads on to even greater things, let us never forget the kind of people who helped us through the darkest times, or the types who passed by on the other side of the road. Let us use our power not just to take back this nation's freedom and to secure a future for its children, but also to turn these islands of ours into a beacon of social justice, a place where, at last, the "ordinary people" get their place in the sun.

We Lead They Follow!

Two months ago, Nick Griffin's lead article in the April edition of *Identity* exposed for the first time in the UK the scandalous agreement drawn up by the Home Office for the housing and domestic provision for asylum seekers which is more lavish than that enjoyed by perhaps 30% of the British population. Even more scandalously, the agreement – the Revised Tenancy Agreement of April 2001 – has been made the subject of the Official Secrets Act to stop the British people finding out about it.

The fact that it took two years for the document to be revealed when the media and officials from Lib/Lab & Con must have known about it is a shocking indictment of the collusion of the establishment parties and the media to facilitate immigration into the UK and pull the wool over the eyes of the people.

Now that the BNP has exposed the story, the lid is off and surprise, surprise *The Sun* (a full two months after *Identity*'s exclusive) has also launched into print and covered the same shocking scandal uncovering yet another secret document which provides an offer of accommodation within one hour of asking!

Proof at last that budding journalists and stringers everywhere are checking the BNP's web site to keep tabs on what's really happening in today's 'Cool Britannia'!

EURO ELECTION FUND 2004

How it will work

by Tony Lecomber



Nick Griffin has already explained that to contest next year's European Elections will cost £200,000. We shall be contesting every English region plus Scotland and Wales. N. Ireland is still to be decided upon. Deposits of £5,000 for nine English regions plus Scotland & Wales comes to £55,000. Some £20,000 will be needed centrally for further infrastructure

and publicity. The remaining cost will be for the massive leaflet distribution that will be undertaken.

In 1999 when the party last contested the European election, spending totalled roughly £108,000. Even so, only one region had blanket leaflet coverage with a further two getting near blanket coverage. This time, the goal is total coverage — nothing else will do.

Funds raised will be at two levels: regionally and centrally. Funds raised by the centre will go to paying for the infrastructure & publicity and also for the paying of every single deposit. Funds raised by the regions will go solely on the paying of that particular region's Euro Election address.

This means two things: firstly that the regions will be off to a flying start with the Euro Election deposit already paid for them by HQ. Secondly, it means the regions will be working on a level playing field since the North West, for instance, (the party's strongest region) will have to pay for nearly 3 million election addresses, while the North East which is much smaller in terms of party membership only has to pay for little over a million.

Before giving some regional target figures, two things must be borne in mind: firstly the prices quoted are only good for several weeks and the election is nearly a year away — but clearly we have to work on some figures. The price could go up (down's not very likely!) a bit depending upon paper prices and the exchange rate next Spring. Secondly the funds raised in the central Euro fund are only meaningful if the sums raised are over and above normal income which always includes donations. In 1999 there was disappointment when the £12,000 raised at the previous Annual Rally only realised an extra £4,000 on the day since general donations had fallen off by £8,000 over the previous six months. The fund-switching was necessary otherwise the party would have gone operationally bust while sitting on a big Euro Election war chest. We don't want the same thing happening again, so the General Fund for normal

operating expenses will be maintained side by side with the Euro Election Fund. If normal income starts falling short of normal expenses then the Euro Election Fund will have to be raided just to keep the party going. At least now everyone knows what the situation is in case of this eventuality.

The minimum election address will be as last time — an A5, 2-sided, full-colour address though this time on gloss which is only marginally more expensive given the high volumes that we will be dealing with. This then is our number 3 address. Our number 2 address will be 2/3 A4, 2-side, full-colour, gloss, folded (4-panel) and our number 1 address will be A4, 2-side, full-colour, gloss, folded (6-panel). This latter address has not been costed just yet, but it will be roughly half as much again as the number 2 address and will be included in the fund-raising graph which will start appearing as from next month. We have considered using less colour and offering a cheaper address, but the minimal saving doesn't justify losing the colour impact.

Regionally the targets are as follows: England East #3 £7,600; #2 £11,700. South East #3 £11,000; #2 £17,100. London #3 £9,200; #2 £14,300. South West #3 £7,100; #2 £11,000. E. Mids #3 £6,100; #2 £9,400. W. Mids #3 £7,600; #2 £11,700. Yorks & Humber #3 £7,200; #2 £11,000. N. West #3 £9,600; #2 £14,900. N. East #3 £4,000; #2 £6,000. Scotland #3 £7,200; #2 £11,600. Wales #3 £4,400; #2 £6,700. All these prices include the addresses being banded into 100s, boxed and delivered to regional postal depots.

It's ambitious and there's less than a year to go before the money will be needed, but the party is bigger than it was four years ago and I've no doubt that we can do it!

PARTYnews

SUCCESSFUL YORKSHIRE MEETING

Sunday 16th May saw a key meeting of all Yorkshire officials and Councillors to discuss the elections of May 1st and also to plan for the 2004 local and European Elections. Submissions were made for entry to the party's Yorkshire Regional list for the Euro Election next year and the meeting also saw the appointments of new Regional Organisers for the West Riding - Mr Chris Beverley and for the South & East Ridings - Mr Ian Smith.

It was a very productive day and has put the county on a good organisational footing to build on solid performances in last month's Council elections for what will hopefully be next year's victories. The meeting was chaired by North Riding Regional Organiser Mr Brayshaw and Dir. Group Development Mr Lecomber.

BNP MAN WINS MILESTONE LEGAL CASE

Last year BNP member and Council election candidate for Bexley, Slade Green ward Jay Lee was expelled from the train drivers union ASLEF. The first Jay knew of his expulsion was from the front page of the communist *Morning Star* newspaper of 23rd April 2002. Mick Rix, the ASLEF union leader, said in this article that Jay had been expelled because he thought like Le Pen. Shades of Orwell and Stalin indeed. Jay received his expulsion letter a few days later.

ASLEF then had their week-long annual meeting (held at the 5-star Palm Court Hotel in Scarborough) at which, under the meeting topic of BNP Banned, it was declared that Jay had been expelled because of his BNP membership, and anyone else found to be a member of the BNP in the future would also be expelled. Rix said: Taking this action might put ASLEF in breach of anti-union laws. So what? The authorities won't dare to carry this law out. We are sending out a clear message. We are not tolerating BNP in our membership. We are prepared to break the law on this issue. The TUC head of Organisation Ms Frances O'Grady congratulated ASLEF for making this decision.

At the week long TUC annual conference in Blackpool, Jay and the BNP were again the main point of discussion. Most of one entire day was spent ranting on about the BNP and Jay in particular. At the end of the weary day, composite motion 6 was put forward which read: -

Congress deplores the politics of the BNP, which is an organisation incompatible with the principles of the trade union movement. Congress commits to support trade unions that deny membership to, or expel from membership, members of the BNP or other fascist organisations.

The motion was carried. At the end of the conference delegates sang the red flag.

LEGAL EAGLE

Soon after his union expulsion, Jay decided to defend his right of belief and association by taking on the union bully boys in an application to the Industrial Tribunal to fight ASLEF's decision. At this point it needs mentioning that aside from Jay, the other BNP hero in this case has been Lee Barnes, the party's legal advisor who, despite still recovering from cancer, successfully took this case on. He is also representing for free 30 other cases for people who have been denied legal aid by corrupt and cowardly solicitors.

The result of Jay defending his democratic rights (and that of every other trade unionist in the country) was constant abuse in the union's internal magazine *Loco Journal* during April, May, June, July, August, September and October 2002. Articles covering the case also appeared in *Tribune* (twice) and in the *Daily Mirror*.

Readers of *Identity* might like to know that Rix (the person who kicked Jay out) is an Executive Committee member of the Socialist Labour Party. At the SLP annual congress in 1998 which was attended by delegates from the Communist parties of Cuba, France, Sweden, North



Victory... the BNP's Jay Lee who stood up to and beat the marxist bully boys of ASLEF

Korea, and Sinn Fein, it was declared that NATO was a Neo Nazi Alliance and that Bill Clinton, Robin Cook, Madelaine Albright, Schroeder, Fisher and Chirac were all brutal, illegal, immoral, imperialists who should be indicted as war criminals against humanity. Delegates also declared: - The SLP was a party subscribing to the philosophy of Marxism.

SHOWDOWN

The case finally came up a year after proceedings began at the main Industrial Tribunal office in London in April. Present for ASLEF were a solicitor from Thompsons solicitors (the largest specialist Employment Law firm in the country, employing 800 staff in 18 offices and which supports the denial of trade union membership to BNP members and who also helped fund *Searchlight's* revolting election rag!), two barristers (one of whom had written the specialist law book on industrial tribunals that Lee Barnes had been using since the case began!), and John Hendy QC. Hendy is the top employment law barrister in the country with his own chambers in London. He is also the visiting law professor at Kings College London University, Chair of the Institute of Employment Rights, joint National Secretary of the United Campaign and Vice President of the International Centre for Trade Union Rights.

London Barristers generally charge around £300 per hour, and top QCs around £700 per hour. Jay's case had been going on for nearly a year... The folly of ASLEF is illustrated by their use of Thompsons solicitors. Thompsons were only engaged by ASLEF after the first firm of solicitors told them frankly that they hadn't got a leg to stand on!

At the tribunal, three judges were present to hear the case. One is always a judge, another represents the business community, and the third is a trade union official (the trade union official usually sides with the trade union in any dispute). The public gallery was packed with only two for the BNP side; the others were a mixture of media and law students (probably because of Hendy's presence). Said Jay: The case went on all day, with the usual accusations of race, racial, racist, racialist,

racism, this-ism and that-ism. ASLEF were trying to say that it wasn't my membership that I had been kicked out for but for my BNP activities (dressing up as a priest and giving out pro-Christian leaflets, my election address advocating all asylum seekers be deported, taking pictures of illegal ANL leafletting etc).

ASLEF tried to claim that Jay had brought the union into disrepute with his BNP activities. One after another, the ASLEF/Communist accusations were shot down. It was the national race debate in miniature that has never been allowed to take place. Even Hendy was out of his depth as the issue continually moved from Law into Politics and political ideology. ASLEF President Martin Samways, ASLEF's only witness, appeared confused and Hendy was stopped by the judges for rambling about issues that were nothing to do with the case. On and on it went, hour after hour the debate that the left didn't want to hear. The hearing finished and both sides were instructed that the decision would be posted to them in due course.

UNANIMOUS WIN

When it arrived last month, Jay won with a unanimous decision! The tribunal stated: His complaint under section 174 of the Trade Union and Labour Relations Consolidation Act 1992 was well founded. It declared that Jay had not brought the union into disrepute because his leaflets and election address had not mentioned the union's name. Nowhere is there evidence to link his conduct as a BNP activist with his membership of ASLEF. It noted that Mr Hendy had not addressed the shortcomings of ASLEF's evidence, and that certain parts of ASLEF's own evidence was 'Damning' against ASLEF themselves! The unanimous decision stated: the principle reason for the expulsion was because Mr Lee was a member of the British National Party.

The verdict is a massive vindication of the rights of BNP members living in a democracy and a legal milestone. Several more BNP members whose cases are still ongoing will now be able to cite this powerful verdict in their own cases. Leftists too will be able to use it when they are kicked out of unions as a result of political jockeying. Jay Lee is now pressing ahead with a claim for compensation.



Jay and legal expert Lee Barnes outside the Employment Tribunal

BNP Leaflets

BUILDING A FUTURE FOR OUR CHILDREN




▲ General recruitment leaflet carrying the powerful slogan "Building a future for British children!"

ASYLUM SEEKERS? TIME TO PUT OUR OWN PEOPLE FIRST!



▲ ASYLUM seeker leaflet drawing attention to the invasion of the British Isles by 'refugees'.

All these children would be alive today if our spineless politicians had the guts to declare war on the paedophiles



▲ Powerful leaflet drawing attention to the large number of child deaths resulting from paedophile activity

CRIME IS OUT OF CONTROL!



▲ Crime leaflet drawing attention to the explosion of insecurity and lawlessness on our streets.

IMMIGRATION?



OPEN YOUR EYES!



▲ Immigration leaflet on the revelation that Britons will be a minority within 60 years.

NO TO THE EURO KEEP OUR POUND!



▲ New anti-Euro leaflet carrying the slogan "No to the Euro, keep our pound!"

All leaflets £8/1,000. Postage rates for leaflets are £6.55 per 1,000, £7.15 per 2,000. All orders to PO Box 1295, Bedworth, Warwicks., CV12 0ZE

IMPLICATIONS OF RACIAL DIFFERENCES

Race, Genetics and Society: on the Scientific and Social Policy Implications of Racial Differences; essays by Glayde Whitney, edited by Kevin Lamb; Scott-Townsend, Washington DC, 2002

Reviewed by Steve Johnson



One of the principal ways in which the “liberal consensus”, which every Western governing party has subscribed to for several decades, attempts to ensure that “taboo” subjects such as race will not be seriously debated is that of portraying all dissenters from its view as ignorant morons and bigots, whose stupidity makes them unworthy of a hearing. The author of this timely, and decidedly dissenting, collection of essays gives the lie to this canard.

He does so in his own achievements. Until his untimely death in February last year from emphysema at the age of only 62, Professor Glayde Whitney had enjoyed a distinguished academic career. He was the author of many ground breaking papers on psychology and genetics, a tenured Professor at Florida State University, and a former elected President of the respected Behavioural Genetics Association,

to which many leading US psychologists belong.

And he does so in this collection of essays, published as a posthumous tribute to Professor Whitney. Often brilliantly, incisively and wittily argued, they were originally written for a variety of academic and lay publications, and include his famous Presidential Address to the BGA, whose outspoken, though soberly and moderately worded, flaunting of the taboos of “political correctness” precipitated a storm in US academic and scientific circles.

The basic thesis running through Professor Whitney’s work, well put across in these essays, is this. The scientific fact is that the human species is divided into genetically distinct subgroups, politely called “races” although in any species but our own they would certainly be termed subspecies. These races in turn differ significantly in inherited attributes not just physically but also mentally. And this has all been known to science for decades.

DEMONISED IN THE MEDIA

As Professor Whitney points out it is actually not a controversial subject among the relevant scientific experts – and he should know as he was one and knew many of the others. Behind closed doors and among themselves, that is. In public, for reasons Whitney makes all too clear, most simply keep quiet and if pressed parrot politically correct platitudes they know to be nonsense. The alternative is to be demonised in the media, branded a “racist” or even a “nazi”, and possibly face the end of their hopes of career advancement. Few of his compeers, sadly, possess the moral courage of Glayde Whitney and his readiness to tell the truth regardless of personal consequences. Given his

academic distinction, Whitney could confidently have hoped to crown his career with a professorship not at Florida State University but at the likes of Harvard or Yale, had he but been willing to keep his mouth shut!

Instead, as here, he preferred to tell the truth. Truth explained, with academic rigour but in language easy for the layman to understand, about the nature and extent of racial differences, and the social consequences in terms of differential crime rates and levels of achievement resulting from those differences.

These consequences would be even clearer were not desperate official efforts made, as Whitney reveals, to obfuscate them and confuse the figures. A classic example he cites is that in official US crime figures, “Hispanics” are counted as a separate ethnic group as the victims of apparently racially-motivated “hate crimes”. But they are lumped in with Whites when counting the perpetrators. This can lead to an officially logged “race hate crime” in which both victim and perpetrator are recorded as White, when, for example, a Mexican racially attacks a White person! Whitney’s brilliant analysis in his essay on “*Crime and Racial Profiling*” of officially published US data shows that on his side of the Atlantic, as well as ours, most racial attacks in proportion to population are carried out *against* Whites, rather than, as the media would have you believe, *by* them. Also, in view of the differences between ethnic groups in crimes committed per head of population this would justify a police service being a lot *more* “institutionally racist” if the aim was purely to reduce crime as much as possible!

This book is particularly valuable and timely in that Whitney expertly dispels PC propaganda such as “races are a political construct not a scientific reality” and even if they do exist “most human variation is between races not within them”. He explains how modern research in fields such as mitochondrial DNA, the “African Eve” theory and the findings of the Human Genome Project *strengthens* the evidence for racial differences rather than, as portrayed in the media, undermining it. His review of population geneticist Luigi Cavalli-Sforza’s popularising work, *Genes Peoples and Languages*, accurately entitled “Races do not exist – so study them” is a particularly brilliant expose of the hypocrisy, humbug and superficial genuflection to the politically correct line which characterises many workers in this field. Workers who clearly know the truth perfectly well but prefer, for obvious if ignoble reasons, not to speak it.

THOUGHT POLICE TERROR

On why they prefer not to speak it Whitney is especially incisive. Drawing on his own experience and that of other academics who dared speak incorrect truths in public, he paints a chilling picture of the reign of terror imposed by the PC Thought Police. Terror ranging from unprecedentedly refusing to print the President’s Annual Address in the journal of the learned association over which he presided, through media vilification, job discrimination, threats and violence against “crimethinkers”.

Whitney's essay "*Raymond B. Cattell and the Fourth Inquisition*" is a masterly account of the nature, methods and motives of the ideological tyranny under which we in the West live while our masters tell us to call our servitude "freedom". The essay was prompted by the treatment meted out to the distinguished US psychologist Raymond B. Cattell. In August 1997, at the age of 92, the frail Cattell travelled from his retirement home in Hawaii to Chicago to receive the crowning honour of a lifetime devoted to science, the Gold Medal for Life Achievement of the American Psychological Association, the top professional body in the field. The award of the Medal to Professor Cattell had been officially announced in the APA journal *American Psychologist* and the grand old man was looking forward to this recognition of his life's work. Suddenly, the day before the award ceremony, the APA announced that he would not in fact get the Gold Medal. Objections had been raised, not to Cattell's scientific work which was being honoured, but to his alleged "racist views". And not by his fellow scientists but the "Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith", a sinister, secretive Thought Police body with links to Communists and convicted criminals like our own Gerry Gable in Britain.

As Whitney wittily put it, the charges dredged up in this mean-spirited attack on an elderly, unwell and distinguished scientist amounted, like those of earlier inquisitions similarly intended to terrify the truth into keeping silent, to "heresy, blasphemy and cavorting with devils". To wit advocating (purely voluntary) eugenics, writing words that could be quoted out of context to appear, falsely, to praise Adolf Hitler (they went on in the rest of the passage quoted in full this time by Whitney, to condemn him unreservedly!), and admitting having met, though not endorsed all the views of, Wilmot Robertson, author of the US racial nationalist book *The Dispossessed Majority*. This led to the ultimate charge, analogous to Satanism before earlier inquisitions, of "nazism". Whitney is especially sound in his cogent answer to this smear. He explains, not just in this essay but throughout this collection, why the science of racial differences, and even the advocacy of sane and voluntary eugenics, is *not* nazism and does not imply any support for the genocidal despot Hitler. Reading this would be especially valuable to those Nationalists who appear to think that because any dissent from political correctness will, if remotely possible, be *called* nazism it must necessarily *be*, or even *tolerate*, nazism. As if Galileo were to have answered his accusers by saying "yes, it's all true, my telescope *is* an Instrument of Darkness and I say the Earth goes round the Sun because thus I may spread death and destruction and serve the will of my Master Satan (who Was Right and did not kill six million angels, Hell was smeared in the Christian media, etc etc)"

Whitney is at his most profound in his analysis of why the experts on human racial biology know the truth and the public doesn't – and indeed is constantly told the opposite. He exposes the real nature and motives of those, like the ultra left-wing anthropologist Franz Boas, who created and imposed on us all

the ideology that is now political correctness. Essentially they believed, and believe, in total contradiction to the traditional values of Western science that ideology is more important than the search for Truth. So that, if research is liable to discover ideologically unacceptable truths, it should not be done, or if already done its findings should be suppressed. Scientists working in such forbidden fields should be prevented from doing so, and silenced. By whatever means necessary. Whitney, shockingly, reveals how its exponents make no secret at all of such views. As he sadly observes, honest, non-political scientists are not in general equipped to cope with this: "One of the main take home messages is that honest empirical science does not fare well, at least in the short run, when up against ideologically-inspired polemics in which almost anything goes in the service of a greater good (the end justifies the means)". As Whitney would certainly agree, in the long run, of course, the truth will out: however ignored or suppressed, it remains true regardless and its consequences will impress themselves ever more forcefully on us all if it is not faced up to.

This collection of fine essays is not, sadly, without the odd editorial flaw. The graphs and figures, which play a valuable part elsewhere in the text, have been omitted from a couple of the essays, although reference is made to them in the text. Two of the essays, "*On the Races of Man*" and "*Choice Data are Accumulating in a Neglected Field*" are obviously the same essay rewritten for different readerships, with passages word for word identical in both. But these are minor flaws which do not detract from a generally well-laid out and very readable book.

USEFUL FOR BRITISH NATIONALISTS

It is also important to make clear that, while the science of racial differences Whitney so ably lays before us is useful to the British Nationalist case, it is not

necessarily essential to it. Professor Whitney was an American, and the USA is a nation of immigrants. We are British, and Britain is not (*was not until recently* – Editor). It is our homeland, the home of many of our forefathers since before it was an island. We lived on this land before even the ancestors of the original Native Americans walked to their land across what is now the Bering Strait, 15,000 years ago. They lost their land to immigration. We do not intend to lose ours the same way. Regardless of the nature and extent, or even direction, of the differences between ourselves and the immigrants who have begun to settle our land, even were they inherently superior to us, this is our land and we have a right to keep it ours. If we can.

Nevertheless, the scientific evidence so ably expounded by Professor Whitney clearly demonstrates that racial differences exist, and that they matter to society. And he shows too how the politically correct elites who rule our country and his do so by lies, intimidation and propagandistic distortion and suppression of the truth. Understanding this in turn adds weight to our case and our arguments, and helps us answer those of our PC opponents. Which in turn helps us in our fight to hold on to our homeland. Which is why you should read this book!

Professor Whitney in action



THE CELTS

PART 2: SPREADING THE IRON AGE CULTURE AND COMING TO BRITAIN

By Nick Griffin, MA (Hons) Cantab



Georg Ramsauer, mid-19th-century director of the Hallstatt State Mine, near Salzburg, Austria, was fascinated by the discovery in the salt mine of the well-preserved body of a prehistoric miner. Some 2,500 graves revealed that from about 770 B.C. a society of aristocrats ruling over a settled peasantry used salt from the mine as the basis for widespread trade. The wealth and patronage of its rulers created a highly skilled school of craftsmen utilizing a strong, new metal. The Iron Age had arrived.

The art and economy of this new ironworking culture was now named after the finds at Hallstatt. But there is also widespread evidence that the Hallstatt period saw the arrival of the domesticated horse in central Europe. From the eighth and seventh centuries B.C. bronze harness mounts and horse-bits become widespread throughout eastern and central Europe and are even found as far west as Wales. At the same time, the Hallstatt chieftains adopted the practice of wagon burial, which, like the use of the horse, first appeared in the steppes to the east. The power and prestige created by their horses would have either made them natural new overlords or, if they were insufficient in numbers to take over completely, encouraged the established aristocracy to adopt their technology and customs. Since the move away from cremation was widespread but not universal, and since the two rites co-existed for much of the period, the latter is probably closer to the truth.

EXPANDING POPULATION

The discovery of iron had a revolutionary effect on the farming economy, as well as on warfare. With the new iron axes and heavy, iron-tipped ploughs, farmers were able to clear and cultivate dense forests on heavier ground. Within a couple of generations this must have led to a very significant and accelerating population increase. This stimulated further trade with the civilizations to the south and east, but growing self-confidence also encouraged local craftsmen to new heights of skill in their own very distinctive style of sweeping abstract designs merging with the heads of fantastic animals and birds. The earliest burials to preserve these new developments are found along the Upper Danube, in Upper Austria and

Bavaria, and in Bohemia. If we want to pinpoint a specific area, this may be regarded as the birthplace of the historic Celts.

By the start of the sixth century B.C. the pressure of population and perhaps the ambitions of young nobles led to rapid expansion to the west. Indeed, the whole centre of the Celtic domain shifted into the Upper Rhine, southwest Germany, Switzerland, and Burgundy.

Two particularly fine graves tell us a great deal about the people involved. A grave mound at Vix, near Chatillon-sur-Seine in Burgundy, was found to contain the remains of a young woman of about thirty. She was laid on the frame of a wagon, whose four wheels had been removed and placed against the east wall of the wooden chamber. As in all such graves, no animals were buried, but complete sets of horse harness were provided for use in the next world. The rich grave goods which surrounded her show that she lived in a wealthy society which held women in high esteem. This evidence of the high standing of women is supported by literary references. Plutarch, for example, says that Celtic women were allowed to speak at tribal assemblies, while the surviving stories from Celtic Ireland tell us of queens, such as Maeve, who were as powerful in their own right as Boudicca and Cartimandua appear to have been in the Roman account of the conquest of Britain.

Another large burial mound was excavated in 1978 at Hochdorf near the Black Forest. The tomb consisted of two rooms with oak-beamed walls and roof, topped with a 24-foot pile of stone. In the burial chamber itself, one late summer around 550 B.C., a six-foot tall man of about 40 was garlanded with flowers and laid on a bronze couch covered with wool blankets and badger skins. He was decked in gold: even his shoes bore strips of gold. In the outer chamber, piled with bowls, knives, and cooking pots, was a cart with four well-made, ironbound wheels. A row of ornate drinking horns hung on the wall, no doubt for use in the next life when the chieftain would wake and refresh himself from a large, bronze cauldron, which had originated in a Greek colony in southern Italy. When excavated it still held the sediment of the mead buried with him more than 2,500 years earlier. (I visited this impressive Celtic Grave last year — Editor)

FIRST ARRIVALS IN BRITAIN

The Hallstatt influence spread rapidly. Finds in southeastern Britain dating from the seventh century B.C., for example, have produced Hallstatt warrior gear without the evidence of domestic crafts which normally accompany them in Europe. Such adventurers in all probability established themselves as a new ruling class over the previous inhabitants and, to a greater or lesser extent depending on their numbers, intermixed with them.

The same had happened with the earlier invasions of Neolithic fair-skinned Indo-Europeans and Bronze Age Celts. While, for example, the Goidels of Ireland appear fully Celtic, their Pictish neighbours in the west of Scotland seem to have retained a number of pre-Indo-European customs, particularly matrilineal descent, which indicate that a significant portion of their population was descended from the aboriginal inhabitants of the land. This pattern of conquest followed by gradual integration was probably repeated a number of times.

The influx of Hallstatt warriors at this time may account for the sudden change in the Greek name for the British Isles. In the sixth century B.C. the term the Greeks used was Albion. Within 200 years though, Greek geographers began describing these westerly islands as Pretannia (Britannia). In all probability the change was the result of the dominance of the iron-using invaders' Brythonic dialect, which replaced the older Goidelic tongue everywhere except in Ireland.

Back in continental Europe, the heart of the Celtic world saw further developments. Swords became longer, used for slashing, with short daggers used for infighting. The typically Celtic, long, wooden shield, edged with iron and some-

times covered with beautifully worked beaten bronze, also became common. Evidence of burning and destruction at many sites points to widespread warfare during this period. This may have been merely inter-tribal cattle raiding, as is recorded in many of the later stories which survived in Ireland.

'LA TENE' CULTURE

By about 500 B.C. a final change in the military equipment of the Celts of the heartland, together with a further refinement of their artistic skills, led to the evolution of the La Tene culture, which takes its name from the village in Switzerland where a major find in 1858 first drew attention to the development. During construction work on the edge of Lake Neuchatel, a drainage operation exposed a row of blackened timbers. Subsequent excavations showed these to be the remains of a long pier, from the end of which votive offerings of harness, tools and weapons, and stunningly beautiful eating and drinking utensils had, over several hundred years, been cast into the water in a typically Celtic sacrificial rite.

Clearly directly evolved from the earlier Hallstatt style, this full flowering of the Celtic artistic genius is very closely identified with the aristocracy, which either gained or consolidated its power through the sudden development of a light and technologically advanced, two-wheeled war chariot. This immediately calls to mind the ancient stories preserved in Ireland of heroes racing past enemy lines casting spears at their opponents at the start of a battle.

The chariot owners showed off their status by commissioning local craftsmen to make drinking goblets, wine flagons, cauldrons, and personal ornaments of gold, bronze, coral, and, more rarely, silver. These masterpieces were richly decorated with intricate designs, repeated to cover every available space. Initially they were influenced by imports from Etruscan Italy, Greece, and beyond, but even obvious borrowings were heavily adapted and combined with the swirling knotwork which remains the hallmark of Celtic art. As Chadwick comments, the La Tene style "might be considered the first truly indigenous art of temperate Europe."

CELTS IN SPAIN, ITALY & GREECE

The sudden flowering of the La Tene Culture coincided with the beginning of a vigorous expansion in all directions: an expansion which continued for several centuries. Further settlements were established in Britain and in Gaul. In the north the new arrivals reinforced the already Celtic population, but further south, in Aquitania, they rapidly mixed with the native Iberians to create a hybrid Celto-Iberian population and culture, as they did in the Iberian Peninsula itself. However, the survival of placenames in the languages of the native Iberians and Ligurians in even the main areas of Celtic settlement in Spain shows that large numbers of the earlier inhabitants survived. In some areas the chiefs of Celtic war bands were probably accepted as leaders by the natives. The leading figures in the later Celtiberian war of independence against the Romans all bear Celtic names.

Other groups of Iberian Celts seem to have held their own territory by force of arms, for whereas most of the Celtic placenames in Gaul are based on words such as "field" and "plain," suggesting peaceful settlement; the majority in Spain includes the suffix -briga. This is cognate with German -burg and indicates a strongly defended hill fort. Notable examples include Segobriga (Segorbe) and Laccobriga (Lagos). Just as the chains of forts in Thuringia, on the eastern edge of the Celtic world, probably mark the shifting frontier between the Celts and their close cousins the Germans, so those at the western extremity show us settlers threatened by a numerous aboriginal population.

Certain areas north of the River Po had been settled by Celts in the fifth century BC and in 396 BC an estimated 300,000 newcomers passed through these peacefully. Further south, however, the cities of the Etruscan civilization were looted and destroyed. The people of the Boii tribe, whose original homeland still bears the name Bohemia, seized the countryside around their new settlement, which we know today as Bologna. Related tribes founded other towns, including Milan.

After a brief pause, the push south continued in 387 B.C. A Roman army sent

to oppose them was so terrified by the war cries of some 30,000 Gauls that the soldiers panicked. The Roman force was utterly destroyed, and the triumphant Celts swept southward and occupied Rome. Livy, however, also records that the ill-disciplined tribesmen often drank themselves into oblivion and grew fat on the rich but easy pickings. The invaders were decimated by plague and later by famine. Even worse, they had no idea of the power of organization. Where the Celts had tribal loyalties and reckless individual bravery, the Romans had devotion to the state and iron discipline.

Rome raised and trained new armies from the south and, around 349 B.C., went on the offensive. According to the Graeco-Roman historian Polybius, the Celts, once again marching to plunder Rome, were so surprised to encounter serious opposition that they fled. A series of Roman victories drove the surviving Celts back to the north. By 335 B.C. they were forced to accept harsh peace terms and settled down in Cisalpine Gaul (i.e., Gaul on the Italian side of the Alps), as the Romans named the new Celtic colony, noted for its productive agriculture.

Celts were regularly employed by the Greeks, both in their own civil wars and against their neighbours to the east. In 335 B.C. a delegation of Celts from the Adriatic paid court to Alexander the Great, who asked whether it were true that their people feared nothing. "Only," they replied, "that the sky might fall."

Hellenic civilization was by now fading rapidly, owing to widespread race-mixing between the Indo-European masters and their aboriginal slaves. In 280 B.C. the Celts moved to take advantage of this decadence. Two Celtic armies routed the Macedonian army. Macedonian resistance ended when a third army of Celts, commanded by Brennus, arrived the following year to deliver the coup de grace. In any event, having dealt with the Macedonians, Brennus marched on Greece. A largely Athenian army tried to hold the strategic pass at Thermopylae but was defeated much more easily than the Spartans had been two centuries earlier. Town after town went up in flames. Even Delphi was sacked and its sacred oracle looted. Some confusion followed, and Brennus was wounded, according to the Greeks by the god Apollo himself.

The Celts withdrew in good order, but Brennus, disgraced by the withdrawal and injury, committed suicide, and his mighty host broke up. A Celtic kingdom was established in Thrace, but a combination of interbreeding with the earlier inhabitants and pressure from its Greek neighbors meant that it was quickly Hellenized and overwhelmed.

Other Celts took service under various warring Greek rulers or moved northward founding various towns, including Belgrade. A thin line of Celtic placenames even runs along the coast of the Black Sea north of the Danube, with a scattering of La Tene artifacts being found in southern Russia, including a cemetery near Kiev, and as far as the Sea of Azov.

An army of 10,000 Celts with a similar number of dependents were invited to Asia Minor by a local king in 278 B.C. They quickly found it more profitable to operate on their own account, ravaging and extracting tribute from the terrified cities. Their antics were curbed eight years later by a crushing defeat at the hands of a Syrian force equipped with elephants. The majority then settled on a series of poor plateaux henceforth known as Galatia, now in Turkey.

As late as the fourth century A.D., St. Jerome wrote that the Galatians still also spoke a Celtic dialect similar to that used by the Treviri tribe of the Belgae. Other evidence bears this out. The leaders of the initial invasion and the settlements they founded often had Belgic names, and statues show that many of them wore the wide trousers for which the Belgae were always noted.

To be continued in the July issue.

Editor's note: This is an abridged version of two lengthy articles by Nick Griffin published in National Vanguard Magazine, Nos. 115, 116, 1995. The full-length articles can be viewed on: <http://www.natvan.com/nationalvanguard/115/celts1.html>

The *Left* sees sense on migration

By JOHN MADDUX



In February of this year an earthquake struck the glittering world of our left-wing intelligentsia - Bob Rowthorn, Professor of Economics at Cambridge University and a celebrity Marxist economist (yes, there are still a few!), declared his support for policies similar to the BNP's.

He did so in an article on immigration in the trendy intellectual monthly, *Prospect*, which is normally full of terribly-serious, self-reverential chatter. His article, 'Migration Limits', was so, well, *revolutionary*, that even a member of the magazine's editorial board wrote an outraged letter in the following issue complaining that freedom of speech had been misused and insisting that the piece should never have been published.

So what did comrade Bob write that so upset our nation's thinkers as they stirred their cappuccinos? Well, in a nutshell, his article states that large-scale immigration into Britain is a recent phenomenon that cannot be allowed to continue at current rates without disrupting existing national cultures and identities. It is so important that I shall precis it at length, (my own comments are given in square brackets).

He begins by refuting the left-liberal lie that Britain is a nation of immigrants. Until the 1950s there had been no sustained immigration, other than from Ireland, since the Norman Conquest. The case of the 100,000 Huguenots, fleeing religious persecution from France in the 17th century is often cited; but, in fact they were equivalent at most to about 1.5 per cent of the population at the time. [And, of course, they were white Protestant Christians, just like the host population, and therefore assimilated easily]. Sustained immigration dates back only to the 1950s and by 1971 it was believed that this primary immigration, apart from 'tidying up', had ended.

Then came the 'asylum seeker' explosion. In 2001 alone the net inflow of foreigners on official figures was 182,000. Allowing for illegal immigrants the true figure may well be 200,000. That is equivalent to over 2 million people per decade. Matters are made worse when fertility is considered. Most immigrants are relatively young and consider large families as the norm. The combined impact of immigration and fertility resulted over the five-year period 1992-4 to 1997-9 in an ethnic minority population growth of 15%.

OUR FAILURE TO REPRODUCE

By comparison the native British population has failed to reproduce. The birth rate is now 1.6. A rate of 2.1 is required to maintain a stable population. Consequently, the number of native British of childbearing age will soon start to fall. Combining this with immigration at the current level and differential fertility means that by the middle of the century the ethnic minority population could reach 20%. [*Identity* readers may well consider this to be a marked under-estimate. Figures published in *The Observer* newspaper in 2001

showed that anything up to 50% was more likely].

DESTRUCTION OF OUR HISTORY

In both scale and speed this transformation is without precedent and is a recipe for conflict. Nations are historical communities that have the right to shape their own destinies as they see fit and to resist developments that undermine their identities. No cosmopolitan elite has the right to refashion these identities as it chooses. Many such intellectuals accept the right of oppressed peoples, such as the Palestinians, to a homeland and identity but mock such aspirations when expressed by the historic majorities of Western Europe. For a nation is a community and is to some extent exclusive. Its members share a sense of common identity and have special moral obligations to each other. History is a central component of this national self-understanding. But in recent decades the teaching of national history and culture in schools has been under attack as ethnocentric and reactionary. Consequently, many British children leave school with no knowledge of their heritage. One reason for this has been the imperative not to 'exclude' ethnic minority children by teaching them about events with which they have no connection.

But this proves that numbers *do* matter. After mass immigration a country will soon contain a large number of people who have no connection with its past and no pride or shame in its history. Already, the presence of ethnic minorities has made it more difficult to teach a coherent national history. Further, the history of a nation is not transmitted simply through structured narrative. It is also embodied in symbols: the monarchy, flags, public events etc. If these symbols come under attack the result can be resentment. For example, the badge of the Metropolitan Police contains the British crown which is surmounted by a cross. A recent Muslim recruit objected to this. In response the police produced an alternative badge without the crown and cross. So what will happen if Muslims object to the British flag which is composed of variations on the Christian cross? Far-fetched? No. Already the Red Cross bans Nativity scenes in its shops at Christmas because they might offend Muslims.

These matters are now becoming important, partly because ethnic minorities are now asserting themselves, partly because the political elite has failed to confront the issue of national identity and to define what kind of adaptation should be expected of newcomers. (For a long time the very idea that newcomers *should* conform was anathema). Also, a popular backlash is building as the historic majority of the country reasserts its national identity. For a policy that devalues the history of a nation will not, paradoxically, serve the interests which cosmopolitans hold dear. The alternative to a world of well-defined nations is not one of engaged global citizens but one of isolated individuals and groups ruled by

an unaccountable elite. It is better that the world be divided into nations than that it become a gigantic melting pot commanding the loyalty of no one.

NUMBERS MATTER

To return to immigration. It is unrealistic to expect that European populations will knowingly accept immigration on a scale that would transform them out of recognition. Yet this is what will happen if governments continue to insist that economic prosperity *requires* mass immigration. *Numbers matter.* Mass immigration can lead to an unsustainable growth in the total population of a country or region. The result may be unacceptable damage to the environment and quality of life. Population growth cannot continue forever. This was the point made by the late Pim Fortuyn when he opposed further immigration because the Netherlands was full up, its population having risen in the space of a century from 5 million to 16 million. It is still increasing because of immigration.

Advocates of large-scale immigration usually propose economic justifications: immigration provides a young workforce to support an ageing population; it provides mass cheap labour and also skilled workers, etc. But the trouble with replacement migration is that immigrants grow old, too, and have to be supported by the next generation of workers. To rejuvenate the population through immigration requires a *continuing* flow. Once the flow stops the rejuvenating effect soon disappears. Given that immigration on any acceptable scale cannot rejuvenate European countries, the proper option is to accept that the ageing of their populations is inevitable and to manage this process as best we can. Why import mass labour when there are still millions of Europeans unemployed? Further, to attract skilled workers from overseas removes the incentive to educate the domestic population and develop its entrepreneurial capacities.

ECONOMIC MIGRANTS

If all countries in the world were economically developed, employment and business opportunities would be spread fairly evenly across the globe and there would be no systematic economic pressures for large-scale migration. Even considering natural disasters, wars and civil disturbance, if all countries were prosperous much of the migration provoked by such events would be temporary. But when refugees

arrive in a rich country after fleeing a crisis in a poor one, many wish to remain when the crisis is over. Hence, 1.5 million refugees have now voluntarily returned to Afghanistan from Pakistan, (economic conditions being so bad in Pakistan they had no incentive to stay). In contrast, few Afghans who entered rich countries now want to go home.


In a prosperous world mass permanent migration would be unusual. But this is not the world we live in. The potential flow of migrants is so great that without barriers there would be a massive and unacceptable flow into rich countries. For this reason there is no alternative but to support 'Fortress Europe'. Britain should retain her existing independent system of immigration controls and, in addition, restrict labour mobility from the new EU states, at least initially. Asylum should normally be on a temporary basis only and should not be seen as an automatic passport to permanent residence. Finally, permanent immigrants must integrate in a way that preserves the coherence and sense of identity of the nation.

So there you have it - comrade Bob's diagnosis of our immigration sickness and his prescription for a cure. From the left wing point of view, pure dynamite! You may not agree with all of it, but it is a careful and well-thought out argument, an honourable attempt to get to grips with the single most deadly crisis facing our nation. How typical that his *confreres* on the intellectual left could find no more worthy response than to denounce his argument as racist, scare-mongering, xenophobic, etc. But he has broken the logjam and we can expect further astonishing conversions on the left as the sheer magnitude of the immigration figures becomes ever more obvious.

Luckily, the British people had seen the evidence in their towns and cities well before our intellectuals had even got out of bed, and are now voting in record numbers for the BNP, the only political party with a genuine programme for dealing with the crisis. The next few years are going to be very interesting but also crucial for the survival of the British nation.

★

Editor's Note: *The publication of this article does not imply that Bob Rowthorn agrees in any way with BNP policies or actions. However, we would tactfully suggest that someone sends him a membership form.*



Daily scene from outside Lunar House Croydon where hundreds of Asylum Seekers' queue to enrol for financial, medical and housing assistance.

A NATIONALIST *Notebook*

JOHN BEAN looks at issues in the News
and Behind the News



OUR 'INTERNATIONAL' HEALTH SERVICE

The Centre for Policy Studies (57 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL) has published a report on a special investigation by Harriet Sergeant of the abuse of our National Health Service by foreigners not entitled to its services. Here are just a few extracts which confirms that the £80 Billion of our money thrown at the NHS has turned it into an *International* Health Service for the world's spongers.

"A senior consultant estimated that a staggering 20 per cent of patients on his inner-city ward round were asylum-seekers, refugees and foreign nationals not entitled to NHS treatment."

Ali, a 28-year-old Iranian, married with three children discovered that he and his local GP were both Shia Muslims. The GP offered to coach Ali in the symptoms of epilepsy so that he could claim a disability allowance when assessed by an independent doctor. "The independent doctor duly declared that Ali was epileptic, although he has never had a fit in his life. He now receives a disability allowance, and his wife gets an attendance allowance for looking after him. He also receives income support and child benefit, not to mention a flat from the council, free schooling for the children and health care. He claims he is getting nearly £400 a week – and says he has no intention of ever working."

Elsewhere, the report says: "Many consultants saw GPs as a problem: 'They are less than honest', remarked one. Another claimed: 'They connive with the patient.' Margaret Arnett, the former overseas visitors manager, pointed out that GPs can charge overseas patients at their own discretion – 'So, non-UK residents are often able to use GP serv-

ices for free and can be given an NHS number, with no questions asked by the health authority.'"

On the import of serious infectious diseases, here are just two extracts from the report.

"Nobody entering the UK is required to take a health check. A diagnosis of HIV infection – far from being a bar to entry – is grounds for a successful claim of asylum and treatment for life. Immigration has now transformed HIV into a mainly heterosexual disease. As one doctor in a GU clinic remarked: 'Ninety-five per cent of our patients are now heterosexual and from Africa.'"

"The present case-load of 23,000 patients with HIV or Aids costs the NHS in excess of £345 million a year, rising to £5 billion over the lifetime of those being treated – but the numbers are open-ended – and so are the costs."

The report states that it is not just medical care that attracts the NHS international tourist. "The consultant psychiatrists and managers to whom I spoke claimed that a 'significant' proportion – as high as 40 per cent of acute psychiatric beds in London – is taken up by foreigners, including refugees and asylum seekers. This should not be confused with the higher proportion of mental illness amongst Caribbean men."

In preparing her report, Harriet Sergeant agreed not to reveal names of doctors and nurses, otherwise they would face severe discipline from the NHS management.

For example, one London Borough sent a letter to its directors of primary care saying it was "very concerned" to find some GPs asking refugees and asylum seekers for documentation. "This procedure", the letter said in capitals, "is

contrary to Department of Health and BMA advice."

Another London borough warned: "Any investigation into entitlement might lead to a charge of racism."

The BNP knows where the first axe must fall in making the NHS once again an efficient health service for British citizens who have paid into it. The second axe would be to remove the deadwood of bureaucracy and political correctness that has allowed the system to be so abused. Can you expect such action from New Labour, the Tories or the bleeding heart Lib-Dems?

DIRTY DESMOND'S PORN BABY

A TV channel owned by porn baron Richard Desmond, and owner of *Express* Newspapers, has been criticised for showing a baby in a mock lesbian sex scene. The Independent Television Commission viewed it as "a serious matter" and warned Television X against similar offences.

Publisher of such scintillating titles as "*Asian Babes*" and "*Big Ones*", this is the man – a financial supporter of the Labour Party – who has the audacity to heap gutter abuse upon the BNP in his *Express* Group newspapers! Perhaps the reason for our incurring so much of his wrath is the BNP's call for an end to immigration. As his recent ancestors were immigrants from Central Europe, no doubt we are touching a raw nerve.

IS COLONEL COLLINS TO BE SACRIFICED FOR THE IRA?

The above is the question we ask as the campaign continues to turn the Gulf War hero into a villain. It started when a part-time US Army officer and school counsellor claimed he had been humiliated by

the Royal Irish Regiment Colonel for being sloppy. The American major then made allegations that Col. Tim Collins had been so beastly as to shoot out the tyres of an Iraqi looter and had roughly treated a Ba'ath Party official. He is currently under investigation by the Army's Special Investigation Branch.

The Royal Irish Regiment is mainly made up of Ulster Protestants, although it has a considerable contingent of soldiers from south of the border. It has now been announced that as a further appeasement of the IRA, all three battalions of the RIR are to be disbanded. Loyalists in Ulster are saying that the recent damaging reports on Col. Collins were part of a conspiracy to harm the regiment's reputation in order to make disbandment more acceptable.

A senior RIR officer is reported as saying that the regiment was being "shafted". He said: When the rest of the Army is 10,000 men short why get rid of us? I think a deal has been done with Sinn Fein that we are to go, just as they did with the RUC."

BRITISH JOBS LOST TO 'IT' MIGRANTS

A classic example of the global capitalists threat to British jobs is the Home Office fast-track visa scheme to attract foreign workers — which also helps to massage the asylum seeker figures. It has cost thousands of IT specialists their jobs.

As a result of the collapse of the dot-com boom, an estimated 100,000 British IT specialists were looking for work earlier this year. Yet BT is employing several hundred software developers from the Indian company Mahindra BT, in which it has a 43 per cent stake. They are brought to Britain on a sub-contracting basis. Other firms are doing the same thing and 34,000 IT workers are said to have come to Britain, with accusations being made that the scheme is being used to bring in cheap labour.

Let it be known that Home Secretary David Blunkett has set a target of 175,000 general work permits — so don't be fooled by so-called reductions in the number of asylum seekers!

EU's SO-CALLED AID FOR WALES

Supporters of our deeper involvement

in the European union like to emphasise the aid that has been given to depressed areas in the UK, such as the North-East and parts of Scotland and Wales. According to Prof Dylan Jones of the University of Wales, Bangor, nearly half the jobs created by an EU scheme in Wales have been for its own administrators. Of the planned target of 39,764 jobs, only 8,036 have been created.

Dr Philip Boland of Cardiff University says the EU scheme has become "a feeding frenzy for the usual suspects: local authorities, colleges, training providers, and the Welsh Development Agency". Far from boosting the local economy, the region's domestic product actually fell.

However, several politically correct schemes favoured by Brussels went ahead. These included a £238,000 project in Swansea to "enable black and ethnic-minority women to access mainstream vocational and non-vocational training"; and the £2.78 million "Dawn Project" to provide training and mentoring to "address the social, substance-misuse, educational, training and employment needs of 1,600 offenders and disadvantaged people."

In case you think that this has not cost us any money, all Brussels grants must be matched by contributions from UK taxpayers.

NEW MAN'S TRASH AT THE NATIONAL THEATRE

Nicholas Hytner is the new artistic director at the National Theatre's concrete box on London's South Bank. Some reviewers have applauded and others have dismissed as trash his presentation of Jerry Springer — *The Opera*. Well, if

you like a show that is performed by nappy fetishists, transvestites and lap-dancers it is just the thing.

Mr Hytner has also given a new look to Shakespeare's *Henry V* by casting the young black actor, Adrian Lester, as King Henry. Born in Birmingham of Jamaican parents, I understand that he is a very good actor. But how does a black actor fit in with the personification of an English royal hero as in Henry's speech on the eve of the battle of Agincourt:

"And gentlemen in England, now a-bed,
Shall think themselves accurs'd
they were not here;
And hold their manhoods cheap,
while any speaks
That fought with us upon Saint
Crispin's day"

Like, if yer know what I mean, man. Perhaps I am being unfair to this treatment of Shakespeare. It hardly compares to Channel Four's version of *Twelfth Night* last month. This dark comedy of mistaken identity was set partly in a racially diverse London, with the twins being portrayed as asylum seekers. Some of the dialogue was spoken in Hindi and subtitled as if it were a Bollywood movie.

What next? Perhaps Peter Mandelson starring in *Love's Labour Lost*.

YOUTHFUL TRANSMOGRIFICATION

There is today a general shortage of blood donors, particularly from the Afro-Asian communities. No doubt if blood was for seals, dolphins or furry-tailed foxes then our youngsters would be queuing up. Before you complain, I am a member of the RSPB and the RSPCA.



HOW TO FIGHT BACK AGAINST THE SMEARERS

In early April the Tyneside evening paper, the *Gazette*, published an attempt to smear the BNP by the "Tyne and Wear Anti-Fascist Association". Kevin Scott, the BNP's regional organiser, showed what can be done by demanding the right to reply. The following is the report that was published in the *Gazette* on April 15th.



Kevin Scott

Last week the *Gazette* published an article by the Tyne and Wear Anti-Fascist Association in response to the British National Party's decision to field a candidate in the Beacon and Bents ward – home to a large section of South Tyneside's ethnic community – at the forthcoming local elections.

The BNP has asked for the right of reply and submitted the following article by the regional organiser Kevin Scott, and which we publish purely in accordance with the *Gazette's* role as an independent newspa-

per in the run-up to the local elections.

We leave it up to the voters of Beacon and Bent to choose who they believe is best placed to represent them.

KEVIN SCOTT'S REPLY

When a political party is described by political opponents, it is akin to a job reference from an ex-wife or an ex-husband.

It is likely to be biased, one sided and negative.

So it was with the Tyne and Wear Anti-Fascist Association's (TWAF) description of the British National Party, which is standing a record number of candidates in the north east, including a full slate of 25 in nearby Sunderland.

The candidate in South Tyneside is David Delaney, a local, working man, born and bred in South Shields, standing in the Beacon and Bents ward.

The writer of the TWAF piece wouldn't give their name, unlike our candidate and other BNP representatives. It was apparently "due to the nature of the association's work," according to the *Gazette*.

Absolute rubbish! It is because TWAF has a policy of no platform to those it deems 'fascist' and 'racist' and seeks to deny their freedom of speech and assembly through "physical" means – no prizes for guessing what that means, as outlined in one of their leaflets.

TWAF decides who the 'racists' and 'fascists' are, which effectively means anyone who opposes immigration and wants to preserve the culture and identity of this country.

That wouldn't be so bad if TWAF was self-funding. We live in a democracy after all, and people should be allowed to promote their views, however madcap, providing they remain within the law.

Unfortunately, TWAF is funded from the public purse. It receives £25,000 of taxpayers' money from the five Labour

council's of Tyne and Wear, including South Tyneside Council.

The money is meant for 'educational' purposes, but all TWAF seems to involve itself in is partisan party politics, principally aimed at the BNP.

It is the militant arm of the Labour Party. It is funded to disparage and attack any potential political opponents to Labour beyond the old parties, and is not averse to illegal means such as the distribution of unlawful smear leaflets during elections.

A TWAF supporter was cautioned by police at the last General Election in Sunderland for such activities.

It also has links to some unsavoury groups, such as the violent anarchist group Anti-Fascist Action (AFA). A fundraising event for TWAF held in Leeds at the beginning of this year was attended by AFA members.

AFA members have included convicted IRA bombers, responsible for a spate of bombings in London during the 80s.

TWAF even uses AFA logos on their literature and advertised in Anti-Fascist Action's magazine *Fighting Talk* during the 90s. If TWAF was just another nutty left-wing fringe group, all of that could be easily dismissed.

But TWAF is funded by the Labour Party using public money, which is an absolute disgrace.

Moreover, TWAF seeks to misrepresent the views of the BNP and hoodwink people into thinking the asylum issue isn't an issue of concern.

Last year, 110,000 asylum seekers managed to come to this country. In the two previous years, well over 90,000 each year made it here and it was little better under the Tories.

If that isn't a 'flood' then TWAF should explain what is.

To put that into perspective, a third of all asylum seekers who come to the EU end up in Britain, so we easily take more than our fair share.

Why do they come? TWAF says to flee persecution and war. If that was the case, they would settle in the first safe country and not travel many thousands of miles to Britain.

According to the UN Charter on refugees, Britain only has an obligation to take refugees from neighbouring countries, namely Ireland, France, Denmark, Germany etc.

We are not obliged to take economic migrants from Asia, Africa, Eastern Europe and elsewhere, who seek only an improved standard of living. Where would it end if we did?

TWAF talks about the paltry benefits asylum seekers receive, only £38 a week to live on. They fail to mention the rent, rates, Council Tax, utility bills etc, which adds up to nearly £150 on average.

The BNP believes that we should put our people first and the resources spent on asylum seekers a year, £2billion a year and rising, should go to our own folk.

If New Labour don't like the way in which the British

National Party has grown so rapidly in the north east, they only have themselves to blame.

First there was New Labour's announcement that "manufacturing industry doesn't matter anymore." So the factories and yards that the Tories shut have stayed idle.

Low wages and part-time retail 'employment' just can't replace the real, wealth producing jobs that are still being destroyed by Blair's mania for 'globalisation.'

While local councillors can normally do nothing about big economic issues such as this, the election of a BNP councillor in South Tyneside will give a huge boost to the nationwide growth of the party.

This will speed the day when a BNP government is elected on a policy of protecting British industry and securing British jobs for British workers.

More recently, the New Labour-run Home Office has

turned parts of South Shields into a dumping-ground for asylum seekers, with the promise of many more.

This has been done without any regard to the wishes of the vast majority of local people.

The BNP has on its website the entire 26-page Home Office Tenancy Agreement, under which thousands bogus asylum seekers are being housed at Taxpayers' expense in this country.

By keeping this secret from voters, the Labour council has shown a shocking degree of contempt for ordinary people.

The BNP candidates in this election is urging the public not to blame the asylum seekers themselves for this state of affairs.

The people to blame are the New Labour government and the local Labour councillors who have told so many lies about the asylum issue.

TACTICS IN BURNLEY

Steve Smith, BNP Burnley Organiser, reports on how they kept the BNP in the public eye to become the official opposition on the Council

How did we do it and in such a short space of time? The first thing I would say is that this report is not an exercise in trumpet blowing. It is a blueprint for success which I hope other branches and groups might follow so that they may be able to reproduce for themselves the success that we have had in Burnley.

Firstly, it is important to recognise that the routines of regular leafleting and monthly meetings, etc are as important as any other aspect of obtaining Nationalist success. It's a bit like a jigsaw: if you don't put all the pieces in the right places you will never complete your task.

The additional elements we employed in Burnley have made the difference which has seen us become the official opposition in the Council Chamber. These other elements revolve around the concept that most people are instinctively on our side and that the voters are having their minds framed "superficially" by the media. This superficial public mindset is very difficult to alter, except at election time, when traditionally we become more active and attempt to break this near monopoly of mind control with leaflets and door-to-door canvassing. This has some temporary effect, but the constant opposite message from TV, radio and newspapers quickly overrides our message. Quite naturally, people very quickly forget much of our message and therefore a lot of our work is undone because the name of the BNP is not constantly in front of them.

Now if one accepts this state of affairs as a starting point it quickly becomes apparent that in order to compete with the media and break down this superficial public mindset (I say 'superficial' because people do not instinctively support the media's liberal-left views) we too must access the minds of the public. We must do it on a regular

basis so that our message becomes constant and people's resistance to the BNP name becomes lessened. The internet has been a great help in this regard but not everyone has a computer.

SPARK THE PUBLIC'S IMAGINATION

There are several ways to do this and almost all of them involve an element of risk-taking and courage on the part of those taking part. Because they are unusual they tend to spark the public's imagination and become topics of conversation in the work place, etc.

These other mediums for gaining constant publicity for our name are summarised as follows:

- A display board on a trailer parked up at busy points between the hours of 7.00am and 9.00am can be seen by up to 5,000 motorists.
- Chimney banner. This is my particular favourite as it stands alone and once erected it requires no attention.
- A human 'A' board carried on foot or on a bicycle. Again, on busy roads it can be seen by hundreds of motorists.
- An occasional solitary demonstration outside the local town hall protesting against a well publicised injustice.

All of these are what I like to call repetitive high exposure mediums, which are, if we are honest, very simple in nature. But they do say that the simplest ideas are usually the best.

Good luck to all branches with your future Nationalist endeavours!

Stephen Smith



Speakers' CORNER

P.O.BOX 97, NEWMARKET, SUFFOLK, CB8 8WT, ENGLAND/ Email: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

Anti-Nazi League 'a complete sham'

Please forgive my reluctance to supply my full address, omitted due to my profession and fear of persecution by the powers that be in the former 'Great Britain'.

I am not a BNP member but I do believe in democracy and justice, and am writing to you on the subject of the gloriously misnamed Anti-Nazi League and the fact that in my opinion they should now be outlawed as a terrorist organisation.

The lawmakers continually tell us that "hate crimes" will attract extended prison sentences for the perpetrators. I am in no doubt whatsoever that the members of this totally anti-democratic and criminal organisation should be brought to justice for the hate crimes inflicted on those members of your legal political party duly elected to represent their voters in various Council chambers across Britain.

The lack of will by the authorities to tackle the problem of the persecution and violence perpetrated by this terrorist gang is nothing short of an outrage. I write with some authority on the subject as one of my daughters, in her naive period, was a member of this despicable group but soon came to the conclusion that the majority of the membership consists of violent anarchists culled from the very worst elements of the "world owes me a living" society.

Unfortunately, these fascist bullies are aided and abetted in their criminal activities by the blind eye attitude of the

authorities. Their behavior appears to be almost legitimized by the inaction of the law to tackle any crimes committed against any law-abiding person deemed to be right wing.

As confirmed by my daughter, one should take a good look at where the more affluent gang members live, where they send their children to school, how they purloin funds for "travelling expenses", and their secret reluctance to rub shoulders with those they purport to support.

As my daughter discovered very quickly, the Anti-Nazi League is a complete sham. They will never stand in democratic elections and have no coherent or plausible policies to present to the British people. Indeed, it should be noted that vitriolic opposition to BNP policies on crimes such as paedophilia, murder, corruption and so on, clearly illustrates the true beliefs of this organisation. Apart from its own members, we can only speculate about the numbers and identities of its paymasters it seeks to protect.

I think the BNP should expose the fact that they are a terrorist group via informative leaflets distributed to the public and the media.

In conclusion, people should be made aware that the Anti-Nazi League would collude with any enemy force invading Britain. BNP members would not. And there lies a crucial difference.

Dr.W.R.Phillips
Essex

Racial extinction for the Ruddy Duck

Those who warn that the indigenous population of the UK is increasingly being swamped by incomers and losing its unique identity through interbreeding, are denounced as racists by the government. It tells us that racial differences are merely skin deep and there is nothing to worry about if the indigenous population is replaced by incomers.

But, astonishingly, this same government has announced plans to shoot every single British ruddy duck (a recent American import) because they are interbreeding with the European white-headed duck and threatening it with extinction.

So when a species of duck is threatened with extinction by swamping and interbreeding, there is a disaster which justifies extreme action – slaughtering every ruddy duck if necessary. But when the indigenous human population of the UK is similarly threatened with extinction – or at least, minority status – by mass immigration and interbreeding, our government tells us this is a wonderful thing and enforces it by law!

Such is the self-contradictory stupid-

ity of the multi-cultural mentality, which denies the importance of racial and cultural differences. But only for humans!

John Maddox
London SW

'Ethnic Mafia' at the Job Centres

Government departments throughout the British Commonwealth, in so far as I have experienced them, are now dominated by an ethnic mafia who see their remit as facilitating ethnic immigration and access to all finances available. They are completely entrenched and obviously will not relinquish their powerful positions voluntarily. Employment laws protect their positions in the normal course of democracy. They probably wouldn't leave if compensated.

These people are an occupying force, with our people's opportunities being sacrificed to them and our Anglo-Saxon-Celtic culture being exterminated by them.

Any politician with pro-white policies has my support, but without a strong pro-white political movement to back them I do not see what they can do. Thank goodness, therefore, for the BNP.

Having never understood any link

between socialism and multi-culturalism, I hope the ethic underlying the new leadership will be socialistic. Sometimes you have to revisit the past to go forward and I am sure this is the way.

I am not allowed to live in Scotland or get a job with Royal Mail because of the anti-white laws. This saddens and annoys me greatly. I am having to return to Australia where I may try to rent a house and use it to operate a bed and breakfast business.

Lucy White
Inverness-shire

Anglo-European member's welcome

What is the BNP's position on persons of Anglo-other European descent, e.g. Anglo-French, Anglo-German, Anglo-Spanish, or Anglo-Italian (like myself – and the Rosettis)? Are we considered as fully-fledged members of the Party and full citizens of this country, or just 'permanent guests'? And what about our children born here?

"A Worried Member"
Cumbria

Is this a tongue-in-cheek wind-up? Of course you are a 'fully-fledged mem-

ber' and you and your children are full British citizens. The BNP is fighting the British corner in the white world's struggle for the continued existence of its people and its cultures. – Editor

'Your excellent magazine'

I shall be re-subscribing to your excellent magazine, for as I watch this country commit racial suicide *Identity* gives me a real sense of hope that we can save our nation from the liars and traitors who have been elected since the Second World War.

I wish you all the success in the world and know you have worked hard for with the wonderful results in the local elections.

The BNP has been transformed. Well; done Nick Griffin.

Well done to everybody working behind the scenes that has made you a major player as we are soon to see.

Andrew Blunt
Watford

The right to run our own countries

We are constantly being reminded that the Iraqi people do not want Americans or Britons running their country. Agreed: it is essential that a country should be run by those born and bred there – it is their home.

I would like to remind you and your Government that we of the white Anglo Saxon race would also like to run OUR small, overcrowded, abused land. And, without the aid of ANY other culture, ethnic minority, alien asylum-seekers, *et al*, coming here and infiltrating our Government and local authority Councils and generally telling us what to do.

We have just as much right as the Iraqis. Just as I have the right to object to my grandchildren being taught about Islam.

Mrs J. Walsh
(daughter of second world war hero)
Oldham

Copy of a letter sent to the Prime Minister and the leader of the Muslim council

Archaeological discoveries in Britain

Ian Buckley's articles on Kennewick man, Penon woman, etc, may be of

interest to some, but they have no relevance to the modern white population of North America. As for alleged connections between Egypt and Mexico, there is simply no overlap in time between the two civilisations.

Why not report on really solid things that are being discovered regularly in our own country? I don't recall seeing anything about the superb Bronze Age gold cup found at Ringlemere, Kent, and now on display in the British Museum alongside the Rillaton gold cup (see www.ucl.ac.uk/prehistoric/past/past41.html#Gold). At the other end of the country, Tarbat in the far north of Scotland now has a magnificent collection of Pictish tombstones covered in interlace carving (www.york.ac.uk/depts/arch/staff/sites/tarbat/index.html).

Other excavators at Inchmarnock off the Isle of Bute have recently published *The Hostage Stone*, a Viking period slate carving (www.headlandarchaeology.com/Project03/inchmarnockhostage01.html). Metal detectorists in Leicestershire recently found over 3,000 pre-Roman coins, the biggest find ever made for this period. I would think that these and many more equally important discoveries would be far more interesting to British Nationalists.

Peter Gray
London SW

More Brit jobs go to India

So the Global Village developers have decided to take away yet another industry from these shores by setting up "The BT Call Centre" in India. BT will sack all their 'native' workers here, in the process, in order to save money and make bigger profits for the company.

Unfortunately, if this process (with other multi-nationals) is taken to its obvious conclusion then no money whatsoever will be 'saved', since if the natives here are out of work it surely follows that all their dole money will enable them to buy 3rd World goods. At that point we will have become the 3rd World.

I believe, therefore, that the best way our party should progress on this issue is to bring in legislation which would make it difficult to deliberately export British jobs for reasons of 'cheapness' alone, by insisting that the value of all imports to this country from the Far

East and Third World in general are reassessed according to the differences in our higher standard of living and their lower standards and costs. This would mean that the basic costs of the imported items, or services, would have to take into account our company laws and employment practices, before the equitable unit price of the imported product is arrived at and allowed in for sale in the UK.

Paul Snell
Email

Letter to John Bercow MP

I refer to your appearance on the radio programme *Any Questions* (May 3rd), and in particular your comments about members of the BNP. I would remind you that your party was unceremoniously voted out of office in May 1997. And it is my belief that it will not be elected to govern again in my lifetime. The reason: 'sleaze'.

There were more outbreaks of sleaze in the Conservative Party in the run-up to the '97 Election than there were of foot and mouth disease a year or so ago. And what did your then leader do about it? Absolutely nothing.

The BNP has the right to exist, and indeed does exist due to the failure of the so-called mainstream parties to meet the very real concerns of the British people.

Alan Hardy
Cleveland

Effects of asylum seekers

It is high time the Government realised the asylum seeker crisis could cause civil disorder. No wonder education, housing and the NHS are overstretched and short of money, and our council taxes have gone through the roof.

Anyone entering this country illegally should not be entitled to asylum or support. Those caught sneaking into this country should be on the first flight back to their own country with no ifs or buts.

On my recent day trip to France with a coachload of 50 people there were no passport checks leaving or re-entering the country. So how are we going to control the problem of illegal immigrants? This Government is all talk and no action.

Mark Twiddy
London E

BNP Red White & Blue Family Festival 2003

16th-17th August



Beautiful North West venue. Entertainment, political meetings, live music, creche and childrens' games, refreshments, side-shows, displays, regional stalls — and the chance to meet old friends and make new ones at the biggest event in the British nationalist calendar.
See you all there!

SUBSCRIBE TO IDENTITY

Identity is the monthly, full-colour magazine of the British National Party. By subscribing, you will receive the latest articles from the best nationalist writers, as well as the latest party news, developments and initiatives. All it costs is less than 58p per wk!

The following rates are for 6 issues (please tick box as applicable): British Isles £15 ☐ Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐ Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐ Unsealed air mail Middle East, N & S America £24 ☐ Unsealed airmail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name:

Address:

Postcode:

Telephone:

All cheques etc should be made payable to British National Party or British Heritage and sent to PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ. If you have any queries, please call us on 0870 7573 267. Photocopies can be used.


Identity Bulk order rates:

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34;
40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90;
£90.50; 100 - £100

Postage — £1.05 for 5, £1.94 for 10, £4.05 for 15 - 20, £4.34 for 25 - 30, £5.19 for 40 - 50

NICK GRIFFIN AT THE AMERICAN RENAISSANCE 2002

Very well-made recording of the BNP Chairman's keynote after dinner speech to several hundred guests at the Washington DC conference organised by one of the most intelligent and influential magazines in the USA. Includes a lively question and answers session. Runs for nearly 2hrs. £12 (inc p&p).



Available from: Excalibur, PO. Box 116, Leeds LS27 9WW.
Please make all payments out to 'Excalibur'. If choosing to send cash please do so by recorded delivery.
Tel: 07919 384924 | Email: enquiries@excaliburheritage.com | Website: www.excaliburheritage.com

Flags

All are 5' x 3' in size and are priced at £7 each (inc. p&p).



Union Flag



Wales



Scotland



St George's



Ulster



Gibraltar



Falkland Islands



Isle of Man



Guernsey

NEW!

BNP T-shirts



Good quality 'Lux' design. Available in S, M, L and XL.
Available in White or Blue.
Please specify size and colour when ordering.

£12 each (inc. p&p)



BNP bugs

Logo bugs £1.30p
each (inc 50p p&p)

Patriotic Pin Badges

All are £2.50p each (inc. p&p).



1



2



3



4



5



6



7



8



9

THE DOMI MATRIX

Blair becomes increasingly obsessed with
his place in history



BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY MEMBERSHIP FORM

Please complete and return to: The Secretary, PO Box 51, Oundle PE8 6WQ

NAME..... TEL. No.

ADDRESS.....

..... POST CODE.....E-MAIL.....

I enclose a family membership fee of £35 for one year (please give your name and address on this side and names and ages of others on separate paper) ☐

I enclose my fee of £25 for one year ☐

I enclose my fee of £12 for one year and qualify for the reduced rate as:

OAP ☐

Unwaged ☐

Student ☐

(Please send photocopied proof of entitlement)

Are you under 24? if so state age..... you qualify for membership of the Young BNP at no extra cost.

Do you wish to be an active member of the party? Yes / No

Please list any skills or facilities you have which may be of use to the BNP:

Cheques payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'.